Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 14 (2004) 1717-1720

Small molecule biaryl FSH receptor agonists. Part 2: Lead optimization via parallel synthesis

Tao Guo,^{a,*} Anton E. P. Adang,^b Guizhen Dong,^a Dan Fitzpatrick,^a Peng Geng,^a Koc-Kan Ho,^a Charles H. Jibilian,^a Steven G. Kultgen,^a Ruiyan Liu,^a Edward McDonald, a Kurt W. Saionz, Kenneth J. Valenzano, a Nicole C. R. van Straten, b Dan Xiea and Maria L. Webba

> ^aPharmacopeia, Inc., PO Box 5350, Princeton, NJ 08543-5350, USA ^bLead Discovery Unit, N.V. Organon, PO Box 20, 5340 BH Oss, The Netherlands

Received 1 December 2003; revised 16 January 2004; accepted 19 January 2004

Abstract—Potent small molecule biaryl diketopiperazine FSH receptor agonists such as 10c (EC₅₀=13 nM) and 11f (EC₅₀=1.2 nM) were discovered through the design, synthesis and evaluation of three biaryl diketopiperazine optimization libraries with over 300 compounds. These libraries were prepared via solid-phase parallel synthesis using a cyclization-release method. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

As described in the preceding paper, novel biaryl FSH receptor agonists such as $1 (EC_{50} = 3 \mu M)$ and 2 $(EC_{50}=1.7 \mu M)$ along with a unique combinatorial SAR were discovered through encoded combinatorial synthesis. The results demonstrated that combinatorial synthesis is a powerful tool to aid in the discovery of small molecule agonists for peptide/protein receptors. These biaryl compounds could serve as good starting points in a follow-up optimization program. In this paper, we report the elaboration of 1 and 2 into potent FSH receptor agonists such as 10c (EC₅₀=13 nM, MW = 511) and **11f** (EC₅₀=1.2 nM, MW = 563) via parallel synthesis

Keywords: FSH Receptor agonists; Parallel synthesis.

Compounds 1 and 2 share a common biaryl diketopiperazine core, thus the optimization efforts were centered on exploring various side chain substituents on the common core. Specifically, three parallel libraries—A (generic structure 6 in Scheme 1), B (generic structure 10 in Scheme 2), and C (generic structure 11 in Scheme 2)—comprising over 300 compounds were designed to investigate the effect of replacing the metabolically vulnerable side chain amide in 1 and 2 with various

Scheme 1. Synthesis of parallel library A: (a) R¹NH₂, DMSO; (b) Boc-L or D-N-Me-4-iodophenylalanine, HATU, DIEA, DMF; (c) Ar²B(OH)₂, Pd(PPh₃)₄, K₂CO₃, DMF, 65 °C; (d) TFA, CH₂Cl₂; (e) Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-609-452-3746; fax: +1-732-422-0156; e-mail: tguo@pharmacop.com

des-amide fragments (R¹) in combination with introducing a C-5 substituent (\mathbb{R}^3) on the diketopiperazine ring as well as varying the aryl substituents (Ar², R⁴, and R^{5}). The N-1-Me group on the diketopiperazine ring, however, was kept unchanged in these libraries because initial analogues of 1 containing other N-1-substituents— H, Et, or Pr, for example—all displayed reduced potency (EC₅₀ > 20 μ M). In order to prepare the three des-amide libraries, given that the side chain amide nitrogen in 1 and 2 was the resin attachment point in the solid-phase synthesis of the original combinatorial library, 1 a new synthetic route was sought. Eventually, a solid-phase cyclization-release method similar to that reported by Chiron² and Affymax³ researchers was developed (Schemes 1 and 2) to generate these desamide biaryl diketopiperazine libraries.

Thus, as shown in Scheme 1, the synthesis of parallel library A began with bromoacetate 3 that was obtained from esterification of TentaGelTM-S-OH resin with bromoacetic acid using 1,3-diisopropylcarbodiimide. Amination of 3 with diverse primary amines R¹NH₂ generated 4. Acylation of 4 with Boc-*N*-methyl-4-iodophenyl alanine (both the L- and D-amino acids were used to explore the stereochemical preference at C-2),

Scheme 2. Synthesis of parallel libraries B and C: (a) R¹OH, Ph₃P, DIAD, NMP, -15°C to rt; (b) PhSH, DBU, DMF; (c) Boc-L- or D-N-Me-4-iodophenylalanine, HATU, DIEA, DMF; (d) Ar²B(OH)₂, Pd(PPh₃)₄, K₂CO₃, DMF, 65°C; (e) TFA, CH₂Cl₂; (f) Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂; (g) R⁴NH₂, NaBH(OAc)₃, ClCH₂CH₂Cl; (h), R⁵ derivatization (forming amides, carbamates, ureas).

Library C

followed by Suzuki coupling with various arylboronic acids Ar²B(OH)₂ afforded the biaryl intermediate 5. Removal of the Boc-protecting group with TFA followed by cyclization-release using triethylamine provided the des-amide biaryl diketopiperazine 6 (library A).

Next, as shown in Scheme 2, the synthesis of parallel library B (10) began with 2-nitrobenzene-sulfonylamino acid ester 7 that was prepared from esterification of TentaGelTM-S-OH resin with an Fmoc-amino acid (both the L- and D-amino acids were used to explore the stereochemical preference at C-5) using 2,6-dichlorobenzoyl chloride and pyridine followed by Fmoc deprotection using piperidine and subsequent reprotection with 2-nitrobenzene-sulfonyl chloride. Treatment of 7 with various primary alcohols R¹OH under Mitsunobu conditions (based on Liskamp's solid-phase adaptation⁴ of the Fukuyama secondary amine synthesis method⁵) provided 8. Removal of the 2-nitrobenzene-sulfonyl group using PhSH and DBU in DMF followed by acylation with Boc-N-methyl-4-iodophenyl alanine (both the L- and D-amino acids were used to explore the stereochemical preference at C-2) and subsequent Suzuki coupling with arylboronic acids Ar²B(OH)₂ under standard conditions afforded biaryl intermediate 9. Deprotection of Boc and cyclizationrelease afforded the C-5 substituted biaryl diketopiperazine 10 (library B).

Finally, as also shown in Scheme 2, the synthesis of parallel library C began with biaryl intermediate 9 when $Ar^2 = 3$ -formylphenyl (aldehyde intermediate). Thus, reductive amiation of the aldehyde intermediate with various R^4NH_2 followed by acylation with diverse R^5 derivatizing agents (acid chlorides, chloroformates, and isocyanates) and subsequent Boc-deprotection and cyclization-release produced the *meta*-substituted biaryl diketopiperazine 11 (library C).

Taken together, three parallel optimization libraries with over 300 compounds were prepared and each compound (~5 mg) was purified by HPLC prior to the CHO-hFSHR-luciferase assay.⁶ The assay results for

Table 1. EC_{50} values for select library A compounds in the CHO-hFSHR-luciferase assay

$$\mathbb{R}^{1-N}$$
 \mathbb{Q}
 \mathbb{Q}
 \mathbb{Q}
 \mathbb{Q}
 \mathbb{Q}

| Compd | \mathbb{R}^1 | Ar^2 | C-2 | EC ₅₀ (nM) |
|-------|----------------------------------|---|-----|-----------------------|
| 6a | n-C ₉ H ₁₉ | 3,4,5-(MeO) ₃ C ₆ H ₂ | S | 900 |
| 6b | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | $3,4,5-(MeO)_3C_6H_2$ | S | 400 |
| 6c | $n-C_7H_{15}$ | $3,4,5-(MeO)_3C_6H_2$ | S | 2300 |
| 6d | $n-C_6H_{13}$ | $3,4,5-(MeO)_3C_6H_2$ | S | 3000 |
| 6e | $n-C_5H_{11}$ | $3,4,5-(MeO)_3C_6H_2$ | S | 9000 |
| 6f | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | $3,4-(MeO)_2C_6H_2$ | S | 3300 |
| 6g | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | $3,5-(MeO)_2C_6H_2$ | S | 5000 |
| 6h | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | 3,5-Me ₂ -4-MeOC ₆ H ₂ | S | 1200 |
| 6i | n-C ₆ H ₁₃ | $3,4,5-(MeO)_3C_6H_2$ | R | > 50,000 |

select compounds from libraries A, B, and C to highlight the key SAR are presented in Tables 1–3, respectively. (EC₅₀ values are means of at least two experiments with standard deviations less than 20%.)

First, as is evident from Table 1, removal of the side chain amide bond is in fact beneficial. The des-amide compound 6a, while having the same atom length for the N-4-substituent as in 1, shows a 3-fold increase in potency relative to 1. Shortening the N-4 side chain by one carbon atom gives rise to an additional 2-fold increase in potency (6b, EC₅₀ = 400 nM). However, further truncation in chain length causes a gradual decrease in potency (6c-e). In addition, branched or cyclic alkyls at N-4 all result in inactive compounds $(EC_{50} > 50 \mu M$, data not shown). Thus, the octyl group appears to be the optimal N-4-substituent. Furthermore, replacements of the 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl group with other aryls—3,4-dimethoxyphenyl (6f), 3,5-dimethylphenyl (**6g**), or 3,5-dimethyl-4-methoxy-phenyl (**6h**), for example—all result in less potent compounds (compare 6f-h with 6b). Also, similar to that in the original amide series, the (S)-enantiomers in the des-amide series are consistently more potent than the (R)-enatiomers (as one example, compare **6d** with **i**).

Table 2. EC_{50} values for select library B compounds in the CHO-hFSHR-luciferase assay

| Compd | C-5 | C-2 | \mathbb{R}^1 | EC ₅₀ (nM) |
|-------|-----|-----|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 10a | S | S | n-C ₆ H ₁₃ | 100 |
| 10b | S | S | $n-C_7H_{15}$ | 25 |
| 10c | S | S | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | 13 |
| 10d | R | S | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | 120 |
| 10e | S | R | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | 68 |
| 10f | R | R | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | 1600 |
| 10f | R | R | n-C ₈ H ₁₇ | 160 |

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Table 3.} & EC_{50} \ values \ for \ select \ library \ C \ compounds \ in \ the \ CHO-hFSHR-luciferase \ assay \end{tabular}$

| Compd | \mathbb{R}^1 | \mathbb{R}^4 | \mathbb{R}^5 | EC ₅₀ (nM) |
|-------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 11a | n-C ₆ H ₁₃ | Bu | Me | 150 |
| 11b | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | Bu | Me | 14 |
| 11c | $n-C_6H_{13}$ | Bu | OMe | 8.9 |
| 11d | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | Bu | OMe | 2.7 |
| 11e | $n-C_6H_{13}$ | Bu | NHMe | 7.2 |
| 11f | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | Bu | NHMe | 1.2 |
| 11g | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | MeOC ₂ H ₅ | Me | 9.7 |
| 11h | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | $MeOC_2H_5$ | OMe | 1.8 |
| 11i | $n-C_8H_{17}$ | $MeOC_2H_5$ | NHMe | 3.6 |

Second, as can be seen in Table 2, incorporation of a single (S)-methyl group at the C-5 position of the diketopiperazine ring results in a remarkable 30–100-fold increase in potency (compare $10a-c^7$ with 6d-b). However, compounds bearing bigger C-5-substituents—(S)-Et, Pr, or Bu—are all less potent than 10c (data not shown), suggesting that the (5S)-Me is the optimal substituent. In addition, the (2S,5S)-cis-stereochemistry affords the most active compound (10c, EC $_{50} = 13$ nM), relative to which, the corresponding (2S,5R)-trans-isomer (10d) has a 9-fold potency drop (EC $_{50} = 120$ nM); the (2R,5S)-trans-isomer (10e) a 5-fold potency drop (EC $_{50} = 68$ nM); and the (2R,5R)-cis-isomer (10f) a greater than 100-fold potency drop (EC $_{50} = 1600$ nM).

Finally, as shown in Table 3, similar to the trimethoxy series, the *meta*-aminomethyl series also shows a substantial potency enhancement with the incorporation of a single (5S)-Me on the diketopiperazine ring (11a-i, EC_{50} < 150 nM) relative to the C-5 unsubstituted compounds (EC $_{50} > 1000$ nM, data not shown). In addition, the N-4-octyl compounds (11b,d,f) all exhibit higher potency levels (by 3-10-fold) than the corresponding N-4-hexyl compounds (11a,c,e). Furthermore, the \mathbb{R}^4 butyl side chain can be replaced with other groups such as a methoxyethyl to provide equipotent compounds (11g-i versus 11b, 11d, 11f). Finally, ureas and carbamates are generally more potent than their corresponding amides. For example, 11e (urea) and 11c (carbamate) are both >10-fold more potent than 11a (amide).

Some of the most potent compounds were further evaluated in a CHO-hFSHR cell-based cAMP accumulation assay⁶ and the data is presented in Table 4. As can be seen, both the trimethoxy series (10b-c) and the *meta*-substituted series (11b-f) display agonistic activity in the cAMP accumulation assay, generating efficacies between 47% (10b) and 85% (11e) relative to FSH (100%).

In summary, we have described the optimization of low micromolar compounds 1 and 2 into potent low nanomolar FSH receptor agonists such as 10c and 11f through the design, synthesis, and evaluation of three parallel libraries with over 300 compounds. This work has demonstrated that parallel synthesis is a valuable tool for lead optimization in drug discovery. Further study of these compounds will be reported in due course.

Table 4. EC₅₀ and efficacy values for compounds **10b–c** and **11b–f** in the CHO-hFSHR cell-based cAMP accumulation assay

| Compd | EC ₅₀ (nM) | Efficacy (%) |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 10b | 32 | 54 |
| 10c | 32 | 47 |
| 11b | 35 | 57 |
| 11c | 48 | 59 |
| 11d | 31 | 49 |
| 11e | 9.5 | 85 |
| 11f | 7.9 | 72 |

References and notes

- Guo, T.; Adang, A. E. P.; Dolle, R. E.; Dong, G.; Fitzpatrick, D.; Geng, P.; Ho, K.-K.; Kultgen, S. G.; Liu, R.; McDonald, E.; McGuinness, B. F.; Saionz, K. W.; Valenzano, K. J.; van Straten, N. C. R.; Xie, D.; Webb, M. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004, 14, preceding paper in this issue. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2004.01.042.
- Scott, B. O.; Siegmund, A. C.; Marlowe, C. K.; Pei, Y.; Spear, K. Mol. Diversity 1995, 1, 125.
- 3. Szardenings, A. K.; Burkoth, T. S.; Lu, H. H.; Tien, D. W.; Campbell, D. A. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 6573.
- 4. Reichwein, J. F.; Liskamp, R. M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 1243.

- 5. Fukuyama, T.; Jow, C.-K.; Cheung, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 6373.
- (a) Brasier, A.; Tate, J.; Habener, J. *BioTechniques* 1989,
 7, 1116. (b) Benzakour, O.; Kanthou, C.; Dennehy, U.; Al Haw, A.; Berg, L.-P.; Kakkar, V. V.; Cooper, D. N. *Biochem. J.* 1995, 309, 385.
- 7. Characteristic analytical data for **10c**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz), 7.14 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz), 6.97 (s, 2H), 4.20 (t, 1H, J = 4.4 Hz), 3.89 (s, 6H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.75 (q, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.56 (m, 1H), 3.34 (dd, 1H, J = 4.2 Hz, 13.8 Hz), 3.16 (dd, 1H, J = 4.2 Hz, 13.8 Hz), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.85 (m, 1H), 1.57 (m, 1H), 1.41 (m, 1H), 1.23 (m, 10H), 0.84 (t, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz), 0.57 (d, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz); MS (ESI): m/z 511.3 (MH $^+$).